NORWAY

Reporting practice summary - 2017

Norway’s reporting practice in its Annual Report for 2017 was the same as that for 2016.

Norway reported Actual Numbers of exports of major conventional weapons and SALW, as well as of imports of major conventional weapons and small arms in 2017. It reported the Value of its exports of ammunition under Voluntary National Categories.

Transfer summary - 2017: Export Data

- Norway reported disaggregated exports to two countries (Estonia and Iceland) in 2017, both of which were ATT States Parties. It also reported aggregated quantities of major conventional weapons and SALW to unspecified countries.
- Of major conventional weapons, Norway reported the export of seven armoured combat vehicles to Estonia, as well as 74 missiles and missile launchers to ‘Various’ unspecified destinations.
- Norway reported the export of 1,348 SALW, but reported the final importing countries mostly as ‘Various’ without clarification.
- Norway also reported the export of NOK570m (US$68.9m)\(^1\) worth of ammunition in 2017, but reported the final importing countries as ‘Various’ without clarification.

Transfer summary - 2017: Import Data

- Norway reported imports from three countries. Two were ATT States Parties and one was a Signatory.
- Of major conventional weapons, Norway reported the import of 17 armoured combat vehicles from Sweden and three manned combat aircraft from the United States.
- Norway reported the import of 20 small arms, all of which were revolvers and self-loading pistols from Germany.

Good practices:

Norway provided selective descriptions of items and comments on transfers in 2017, including a reference to a paper submitted to parliament detailing statistics on ammunition exports.

Norway reported aggregate exports of ammunition under Voluntary National Categories.

Room for improvement:

Norway aggregated the names of the final importing countries for most of its exports of major conventional weapons, SALW and ammunition, often noting that the final destinations were ‘Various’, making it impossible to analyse the breakdown of the exports by destination.

Norway excluded some data for ‘commercial sensitivity/national security-related’ reasons but beyond this did not specify where or how much information was withheld.
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